

Inclusion of seaweed wracks in diets for grass carp *Ctenopharyngodon idella*

A. Galindo^{1*}, J.A. Pérez¹, D. Barrios¹, D.B. Reis¹, M. Marrero¹, J. de Urioste², M. Venuleo³, C. Rodríguez¹

¹Departamento de Biología Animal, Edafología y Geología, Universidad de La Laguna, Santa Cruz de Tenerife, España. ²Centro de Investigación y Conservación de la Biodiversidad, Fundación Neotrópico, Santa Cruz de Tenerife, España. ³Departamento de Biotecnología, Instituto Tecnológico de Canarias (ITC), Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, España. *Email: agalindg@ull.edu.es

Introduction

Stranding of macroalgal wracks that regularly appear in coasts are usually removed, causing an increased pressure on the handling and management of beach maintenance (Mossbauer et al., 2012). Inclusion of certain amounts of algae in fish feed has been recently described to have several physiological benefits such as an improvement in growth performance and lipid metabolism (Moutinho et al., 2018). The use of Macaronesian macroalgal wracks as a supplement in aquafeeds from a feasible ecological and economical perspective is proposed in the present study. For this purpose, two feeding experiments with juveniles of grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idella*), a freshwater species with rapid growth and easy adaptation to captivity were conducted. Grass carp is the major fish species produced in the world with more than 5 million tons/year (FAO, 2020).

Materials and Methods

Experimental design

- RAS. N=45. Initial weight: 8.6±1.9 g. 133 days.
- Dietary intake: 2.5-3.0% of biomass (twice daily).
- Control diet: diet for tilapia (Skretting) (TD)
- Experimental diet: TD + 15% of a 1 mm multispecific macroalgal wrack (MU)*
- 4 individuals of each treatment were sacrificed, and the remaining individuals were used for E2.

E1

Experimental design

- RAS. N=41. Initial weight: 33.5±8.0 g. 99 days.
- Dietary intake: 2.5-3.0% of biomass (twice daily).
- Control diet: TD
- Experimental diet 1: TD + 7% MU
- Experimental diet 2: TD + 7% of a 1 mm virtually monospecific macroalgal wrack (~95% *Lobophora* sp.) (MO)

E2

Table 1. TL and main FA composition (% of total FA) of diets used in E1 and E2 (Mean±SD, n=2).

	TD	TD+15% MU	TD+7% MU	TD+7% MO
TL (% dw)	9.2±1.4	8.1±0.2	8.9±0.1	9.3±0.7
ΣSFA	24.0±0.5	20.7±0.6	23.8±0.1	23.7±0.5
ΣMUFA	41.0±0.4	54.5±0.6	40.4±0.3	40.3±0.7
20:4n-6	0.7±0.1	0.5±0.0	0.7±0.1	0.7±0.0
20:5n-3	3.1±0.2	2.1±0.0	3.5±0.0	3.3±0.2
22:6n-3	2.2±0.3	1.6±0.0	2.5±0.0	2.3±0.2

Statistical analysis

- E1: t-student (p<0.05)
- E2: One way- ANOVA (p<0.05); Posthoc test: Tukey

Parameters analyzed

- Fish growth and survival
- Hepatosomatic index (HSI), Viscerosomatic index (VSI) and Visceral-fat index (VFI)
- Total lipid (TL) and fatty acid (FA) composition of muscle
- Peroxides index and antioxidant enzymes activities
- Digestive enzymes activities



*MU COMPOSITION

33.8%	<i>Asparagopsis taxiformis</i>
28.6%	<i>Lobophora</i> sp.
22.6%	<i>Dictyota</i> sp.
14.5%	<i>Cymopolia barbata</i>
0.5%	<i>Laurencia</i> sp.



Results and Discussion

Table 2. Survival, growth parameters and morphological measurements of *C. idella* fed the experimental diets in E1 and E2.

	E1		E2		
	TD	TD+15% MU	TD	TD+7% MU	TD+7% MO
Survival (%)	100.0	100.0	83.3	85.7	95.5
WG (%)	345.0	194.5	41.5	95.6	47.3
SGR (% day ⁻¹)	1.1	0.8	0.4	0.7	0.4
HSI (%)	1.7±0.2	0.8±0.2*	1.5±0.4	1.3±0.5	1.5±0.6
VSI (%)	7.3±0.8	5.6±1.6	8.0±1.3 ^b	6.9±0.9 ^a	7.7±1.4 ^{ab}
VFI	2.7±0.5	1.0±0.0*	2.8±0.4 ^b	2.1±0.5 ^a	2.7±0.5 ^b

WG, weight gain; SGR, specific growth rate. VFI was calculated from visible fat of organs: 1 (low), 2 (medium) or 3 (high). * differences between treatments in E1 (P<0.05); different superscript letters denote significant differences in E2 (P<0.05).

Figure 1. TL (% dw) and main FA composition (% of total FA) of muscle from *C. idella* in E1 and E2. (Mean±SD, E1: n=4; E2: n=5). * Denote significant differences (P<0.05)




Table 3. Specific activity (U/mg protein) of digestive enzymes from *C. idella* (anterior and posterior intestine) fed the experimental diets in E1 and E2 (Mean±SD, E1: n=4; E2: n=5).

	E1				E2					
	Anterior		Posterior		Anterior			Posterior		
	TD	TD+15% MU	TD	TD+15% MU	TD	TD+7% MU	TD+7% MO	TD	TD+7% MU	TD+7% MO
Lipase	29.4±9.5	9.4±1.1*	11.8±8.2	10.1±0.8	25.6±14.3	34.2±21.9	13.3±7.9	10.1±6.7	4.9±1.3	6.2±2.5
Amylase	558.1±101.4	41.2±12.5*	731.4±322.5	307.3±79.8	167.2±78.0	289.3±120.9	223.2±46.3	290.5±88.6	433.6±132.0	277.8±101.7
Protease	510.6±152.3	77.8±30.1*	623.3±446.5	291.1±118.1	226.0±94.5	185.9±44.3	255.6±155.2	205.5±189.8	215.3±152.5	146.8±118.0

Table 4. PI (meqO₂/kg), GST, CAT and GR activities (U/mg protein) of muscle and liver from *C. idella* fed the experimental diets in E1 and E2 (Mean±SD, E1: n=4; E2: n=5)

	E1				E2					
	Muscle		Liver		Muscle			Liver		
	TD	TD+15% MU	TD	TD+15% MU	TD	TD+7% MU	TD+7% MO	TD	TD+7% MU	TD+7% MO
PI	19.2±1.1	11.0±3.1*	-	-	8.7±1.0	7.7±2.5	8.4±0.9	-	-	-
GST	14.9±2.7	12.9±2.8	82.2±23.7	99.3±39.0	35.6±6.1	32.0±14.4	27.5±6.6	274.1±92.0	237.6±11.8	281.4±66.5
CAT	0.5±0.1	0.5±0.1	12.7±3.2	14.7±4.1	1.0±0.4	0.9±0.1	0.8±0.3	23.3±8.0 ^b	13.0±3.5 ^a	12.5±5.4 ^a
GR	0.9±0.1	0.7±0.2	1.5±0.4	2.8±0.9*	0.6±0.1	0.9±0.3	0.9±0.4	2.7±0.7	3.0±1.0	2.7±0.7

PI, peroxides index; GST, glutathione-S-transferase; CAT, catalase; GR, Glutathione reductase. * Differences between treatments in E1 (P<0.05); different superscript letters denote significant differences in E2 (P<0.05). - Not determined

Conclusion

The use of a 7% of multispecific seaweed as a feed additive seems to have beneficial effects in terms of growth, visceral fat deposition and oxidative status in *C. idella*.

Acknowledgements

MACBIOBLUE (MAC/1.1b/086). CajaSiete (scholarship A.G.), Gobierno de Canarias (scholarship M.M.), C.R. is a member of ITB (Canary Islands).